

# **Airworthiness Directive**

AD No.: 2023-0198

Issued: 16 November 2023

Note: This Airworthiness Directive (AD) is issued by EASA, acting in accordance with Regular (EU) 2018/1139 on behalf of the European Union, its Member States and the European the countries that participate in the activities of EASA under Article 129 of the egulation.

This AD is issued in accordance with Regulation (EU) 748/2012, Part 21.A.3B. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 132 (a. x I, Part 21.A.3B. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 132 (b. x I, Part 21.A.3B. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 132 (b. x I, Part 21.A.3B. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 132 (b. x I, Part 21.A.3B. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 4.D.5. Consequently, no person may operate an aircraft to which an AD applies, except in accordance with the requirements of that A unless of the Agency [Regulation (EU) 1321/2014 Annex I, Part M.A.303 or Annex Vb Part ML.A.303, as applicable] or agreed with Author vy of the Registry [Regulation (EU) 2018/1139, Article 71 exemption].

# Design Approval Holder's Name: Type/Mod attack ation(s):

AIRBUS S.A.S. A380 aercanes

Effective Date: 01 December 2023

TCDS Number(s): EASA.A.110

Foreign AD: Not applicable

Supersedure: This AD supersedes EASA AD 20098R1 august 15 May 2023.

# ATA 57 – Wings – Wing Front and Mary ars – Aspection

### Manufacturer(s):

Airbus

### **Applicability:**

Airbus A380-841, A380-842 and A3 861 ac planes, all manufacturer serial numbers (MSN).

#### **Definitions:**

For the purpose of the the following definitions apply:

The Airbus instructions: Airbus arvice Bulletin (SB) A380-57-8263 Revision 01 and Airbus Alert Operator Transmission. QT) A5: 19-21 or A57R021-23 original issue.

The AQT: Airba OT A57 21-23 Revision 01.

## Affected a \_\_\_\_on both, left wing and right wing):

- the ring out rinner front spar (OIFS) top and bottom flanges between rib 8 and rib 14; the wing out front spar (OFS) top and bottom flanges between rib 38 and rib 49 of aeroplanes in pre-modication (mod) 77990 configuration, all MSN up to 270 inclusive;
- the outer rear spar (ORS) top and bottom flanges between rib 33 and rib 49 of aeroplanes in pre-mod 77989 configuration, all MSN up to 270 inclusive.



**Applicable wing box assembly date**: The date of wing box assembly, as applicable to MSN, listed in the relevant Appendix of the Airbus instructions (as defined in this AD).

FTOG: Factored time on ground (FTOG), as defined in Airbus AOT A57R021-23 original is

**SFTOG**: Storage FTOG, as defined in Appendix 1 of the AOT.

#### Reason:

Occurrences were reported of finding cracks in wing ORS of several in-service A

This condition, if not detected and corrected, could reduce the structural interity the fected wing.

To address this potential unsafe condition, Airbus issued SB A380-57 (205) liginal issue) to provide inspection instructions, and EASA issued AD 2019-0223, as an internaction, it is quire (for a limited batch of aeroplanes) repetitive special detailed inspections (DI) of the internaction of the wing ORS, using ultrasonic testing methods.

After that AD was issued, it was determined that addition area maxe affected by the same unsafe condition, and that, therefore, all A380 aeroplane nee ed to I inspected. Consequently, Airbus issued the Airbus instructions, as defined in this AD, viding pplicable instructions, and EASA issued AD 2022-0019, retaining the requirer 2019-0223, which was .... of EASA superseded, to expand the Applicability to all A 0 aero and expanding the affected areas to sections of OFS and OIFS, as defined in this AD.

After that AD was issued, inspection result indicated to need to reduce the threshold for wing ORS inspection. Consequently, EASA publishes AD 2022-0174 (later revised), retaining the requirements of EASA AD 2022-0019 which was perseded, and reducing the threshold for wing ORS inspection from 15 years to 10.5 years since the applicable wing box assembly date (as defined in this AD).

After EASA AD 2022-0174R1 was issued an opted by analysis of further inspection results, it was determined that the wing DRS in action threshold needed to be further reduced, from 12,5 years to 11,5 years (since the oplicable ways box assembly date). Consequently, EASA issued AD 2022-0262, retaining the requirements of ASA AD 2022-0174R1, which was superseded, and amending the compliance time for into twing DRS inspection.

After that AD was issued prompted a further analysis of the wing ORS inspection results, it was determined by the thres so for wing ORS inspection depends on more criteria than only the age of the wing. The verity of findings on wing ORS showed a clear relationship with the amount of the an aeroplane of the produced of the need for calculation of an FTOG, as defined in Airbus AOT A57R021-23 original page.

Consequently, 25A issued AD 2023-0098 (later revised), retaining the requirements of EASA AD 2022-0062 ch was superseded, to require FTOG calculation and to introduce new definitions of the compriance time(s) for initial wing ORS inspection, based on this calculated FTOG.



Since EASA AD 2023-0098R1 was issued, assessment of more initial wing ORS inspection results revealed an increased number of findings and of a higher severity, which no longer justify the criteria specified in Airbus AOT A57R021-23 original issue. Prompted by these developments, Airbus redefined one of the driving parameters for the threshold for wing ORS inspections, by placing the previous (to be calculated) FTOG criterion by an SFTOG, as defined in this AD, more focuse in time spent in storage, and issued the AOT (as defined in this AD).

For the reason described above, this AD retains most of the requirements of EASA AD 23-0098R which is superseded, and requires application of the new SFTOG criterion to establish the oplical threshold for wing ORS SDI.

## **Required Action(s) and Compliance Time(s):**

Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously:

### Inspection(s):

(1) Based on the SFTOG as specified in Appendix 1 of the AOT for each MSN, which the compliance times specified in Table 1 of this AD, as applicable, and, thereadyr, at interpols not to exceed 36 months (see Note 1 of this AD), accomplish an SDI of the affected large each wing, as defined in this AD, in accordance with the Airbus instructions.

Note 1: The 36-months interval for repetitive inspections, as required a paragraph (1) of this AD, is applicable for unrepaired affected areas. For areas that have been required, as required by paragraph (2) of this AD, the interval specified in tragraph (1) or mis AD must be replaced by the interval(s) for post-repair repetitive inspections a specific to each affected area in the approved repair instructions received from Airbus, as applicable.

Table nspection meshold

Affected Area	mpliance Time
wing OIFS	Before exceed 30 mon is since the applicable wing box assembly date
wing OFS	Before exceed 30 mon is since the applicable wing box assembly date
	Whichever occurs first, <b>A</b> or <b>B</b> or <b>C</b>
	A: Because exceeding 138 months since the applicable wing box assembly date
wing ORS	B: ( ) for accordance that have exceeded 4 years of SFTOG (see Appendix 1 of AOT): before exceeding the applicable compliance time (grace period) as specified in Table 2 of this AD
	c. ore returning to service from a storage period of more than 12 months (refer to AMM TASK 10-10-00-555-801-A)



Table 2 – Inspection Threshold depending on SFTOG (see option **B** in Table 1 of this AD)

Group	Storage FTOG	Grace period
1	SFTOG > 8 years	1,5 months from AOT effectivity Date
2	7,5 < SFTOG ≤ 8 years	6 months from AOT effectivity Date
3	7 < SFTOG ≤ 7,5 years	9 months from AOT effectivity Da
4	6 < SFTOG ≤ 7 years	12 months from AOT effectivity Da
5	4 < SFTOG ≤ 6 years	18 months from AOT effectivity Pate

Note 2: For the purpose of this AD, for the grace period in Table 2 of this AD, which is expected from the AOT and which is only applicable for aeroplanes with an St. G of m. than 4 dars), the 'from AOT effectivity Date', must be read as 'after the effective date of AD'.

## **Corrective Action(s)**:

(2) If, during any inspection as required by paragraph (1) of this Alamy crack detected, before next flight, contact Airbus for approved repair instructions and accordingly.

### **Credit:**

(3) Inspection of the affected areas of the wing ORS (both 1988) at omplished on an aeroplane, before the effective date of this AD, either in accordance with the Airbus instructions or one of the specific technical adaptations (TA) as identified to the end of this AD, is acceptable to comply with the initial wing ORS inspection agreed by paragraph (1) of this AD for that aeroplane.

3 – Airbus TAS

MSN	ТА		SN	TA
006	80519764/008/	18 is te 3	009	80574157/021/2019 issue 3
007	80508042/016/2	ssue 2	014	80534050/022/2019 issue 2
008	80510297	18. 1	015	80589031/018/2019 issue 2

## **Terminating Action:**

(4) None.

## Reporting:

(5) Within 2 days after the inspection as required by paragraph (1) of this AD, report the inspection bults (including no findings) to Airbus.

### Alternative

(6) The atternate Method of Compliance (AMOC) to EASA AD 2022-0019 with EASA approval 3078244 remains valid as AMOC to this AD.

## Ref. Puns:

Airbus SB A380-57-8263 original issue dated 23 August 2019, or Revision 01 dated 31 January 2022.



Airbus AOT A57R019-21 original issue dated 31 January 2022.

Airbus AOT A57R021-23 original issue dated 11 May 2023, or Revision 01 dated 16 Notember 2023.

The use of later approved revisions of the above-mentioned documents is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of this AD.

### **Remarks:**

- If requested and appropriately substantiated, EASA can approve Alternative bods. Compliance for this AD.
- 2. Based on the required actions and the compliance time, EASA we decide issue final AD with Request for Comments, postponing the public consultation places in a subject to a subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide issue final AD with Request for Comments, postponing the public consultation places and the compliance time, EASA we decide issue final AD with Request for Comments, postponing the public consultation places are subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide is subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide is subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide is subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide it is subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide it is subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide it is subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide it is subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide it is subject to the compliance time, EASA we decide it is subject to the compliance time.
- 3. Enquiries regarding this AD should be referred to the EASA Sarty Information Section, Certification Directorate. E-mail: ADs@easa.europa.eu.
- 4. Information about any failures, malfunctions, defects of the occurrences, which may be similar to the unsafe condition addressed by this AD, and which may occur, or have occurred on a product, part or appliance not affected by this AD, and preported to the <u>EU aviation safety reporting system</u>. This may include reporting on the same or simpler components, other than those covered by the design to which this AD, pres, if the came unsafe condition can exist or may develop on an aircraft with those components may be installed under an FAA Parts Manufacturer and (PM), Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) or other modification.
- 5. For any question concerning the technic content of the requirements in this AD, please contact: Airbus 1IANA (Airworthiness Office Telephone: +33 562 110 253, Fax: +33 562 110 307, E-mail cour crworth A380@airbus.com.



